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## Class of the problem



Figure 1. Class of problems

We study the relation $f(\cdot)$ between $G$ and $S$. $G$ is a $k$-manifold with $k \ll p$. The objective is to build a map between $G$ and $C$ in order to perform a dimension reduction.


Figure 2. Shifting mean Gaussians example

## Motivation

A machine learning

- frugal when possible
- robust
- rooted in physics
- interpretable and theoretically grounded
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## Auto-Associative Models

Auto-Associative Models are thought of as a non-linear PCA. These methods are dedicated to the approximation of the dataset by a manifold.
The algorithm is the following. For $i=1, \ldots, k$ :

## Step 1: Find direction

- Find a direction $b_{i}$ optimizing a given criterion $I_{b_{i}}\left(X^{(i-1)}\right)$.


## Step 3: Recover

- Estimate the recovery
function: $s_{i}(t)=$ $\mathbb{E}\left(X^{(i-1)} \mid C^{(i)}=t\right)$.


## Step 2: Project

- Compute
$C^{(i)}=P_{b_{i}}\left(X^{(i-1)}\right)$ the
$i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate in $C$.

> Step 4: Iterate!
> - Compute the residual and restart. $X^{(i)}=$ $X^{(i-1)}-s_{i} \circ P_{b_{i}}\left(X^{(i-1)}\right)$

Composing the regressions enables the reconstruction of complex shaped manifolds.

## A powerfull projection criterion

The use of a criterion preserving the first-order neighbourhood structure yields interesting results.

$$
I_{b}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{b}(X)^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{i, j} P_{b}\left(X_{i}-X_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

The matrix $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$ is a first order contiguity matrix whose value is 1 when $X_{j}$ is the nearest neigbor of $X_{i}$, and 0 otherwise.


Figure 3. AAM vs PCA projection criterion

## A non-linear recovery function



Principal Component Analysis


Figure 4. AAM vs PCA shifting Gaussian recovery
$s(t)$ is a univariate function and thus its estimation does not suffer from the curse of dimensionality.

## Supervised Auto-Associative Models

Since the aim of dimension reduction is to simplify the regression from $X \in G$ to $Y \in S$, we can use the information in $S$ to supervise the dimension reduction.

We use a contiguity matrix $M_{S}=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$ whose value is 1 when $Y_{j}$ is the nearest neighbour of $Y_{i}$ and 0 otherwise. This projection criterion tries to project the isolign of $f(\cdot)$ on a point. This makes the regression from $C$ to $S$ straightforward.

## SIR vs SAAM



Figure 5. SIR against SAAM
SIR : Apply PCA on the barycenter of grouped data.
SAAM : Minimize the projected distance in $G$ from the nearest neighbour in $S$.

## Take home message

AAM and SAAM exploit different ideas

- an "inversible" projection
- a non linear recovery function
- a topological thinking of dimension reduction

